COMMITTEE REPORT

Date: 2 December 2021 Ward: Fishergate

Team: East Area Parish: Fishergate Planning

Panel

Reference: 21/01605/FULM

Application at: Mecca Bingo 68 Fishergate York YO10 4AR

For: Demolition of existing buildings and redevelopment of the site to

form 276no. room purpose built student accommodation with

associated car parking, landscaping and facilities Petrina Ltd And Grantside (North Star West) Ltd

Application Type: Petrina Ltd And Grant
Major Full Application
Target Date: 14 October 2021

Recommendation: Approve subject to Section 106 Agreement

1.0 PROPOSAL

- 1.1 The application site currently accommodates a bingo hall with car parking, dating from 2002. The building covers the south section of the site. There is an open car park on the north side. Vehicle access is from William Court.
- 1.2 To the north of the site is the car park associated with the Novotel. William Court to the west is a cul-de-sac of 3-storey houses. Fishergate House, a residential development set within landscaping and car parking is to the south. The 1837 house, which is setback from Fishergate, is Grade II listed. Buildings on the opposite side of Fishergate are 2-storey and 3-storey in height. Directly opposite is Fishergate School, Grade II listed.
- 1.3 The site is immediately south of the city centre, as defined on the 2018 Draft Local Plan proposals map. The Central Historic Core Conservation Area lies to the east. The Conservation Area was extended south, to include the Fishergate area, following recommendations in the Central Historic Core Conservation Area Appraisal by Alan Baxter Associates 2011. The application site, the Novotel development and contemporary houses were redeveloped in the late 20th century (replacing the glassworks which historically occupied the site), and are excluded from the conservation area.
- 1.4 The site is within the City Centre Area of Archaeological Importance. It is not in Flood Zone 2 or Flood Zone 3.

Proposals

1.5 The application is to redevelop the site for purpose built student accommodation. The development would provide 276 student rooms; a mix of cluster flats and studios. There would be ground floor communal facilities in the wing fronting Fishergate. There are 5 car parking spaces (including a car club space and accessible spaces) and service access on the William Court side of the site. The layout provides two landscaped courtyard areas, which will be evident from Fishergate and Blue Bridge Lane. The scheme is 4-strorey but designed to appear as 3-storey with the top floor concealed behind pitched roofs and projecting front gables.

2.0 POLICY CONTEXT

- 2.1 Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that determinations be made in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.
- 2.2 The National Planning Policy Framework ('NNPF') is a material consideration in the determination of this planning application. Key policies / sections of the NPPF are as follows –
- 5 Delivering a sufficient supply of homes
- 8 Promoting healthy and safe communities
- 9 Promoting sustainable travel
- 11 Making effective use of land
- 12 Achieving well-designed places
- 14 Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change
- 16 Conserving and enhancing the historic environment
- 2.3 The Publication Draft City of York Local Plan 2018 ('2018 eLP') was submitted for examination on 25 May 2018. In accordance with paragraph 48 of the NPPF its policies can be afforded weight according to:
- The stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced the preparation, the greater the weight that may be given);
- The extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and
- The degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the previous NPPF published in March 2012.

2.4 Key relevant Publication Draft Local Plan 2018 Policies are as follows -

DP3 Sustainable Communities

D1 Place-making

D6 Archaeology

CC1 Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Generation and Storage

CC2 Sustainable Design and Construction of New Development

ENV1 Air Quality

ENV5 Sustainable Drainage

H7 Student Housing

HW1 Protecting Existing Facilities

T1 Sustainable Access

3.0 CONSULTATIONS

Design, Conservation and Sustainable Development - Archaeology

- 3.1 Officers have recommended a condition requiring a programme of mitigation, to involve excavation and public engagement.
- 3.2 The site is within the Central Area of Archaeological Importance and known to contain significant archaeological features and deposits from all periods despite being developed since the 19th century. The site was evaluated in 1994 (by YAT) with further evaluation and excavation (by FAS) in the early 21st century ahead of the construction of the bingo hall. These investigations were limited in the northern half of the site due to the extant Rialto Cinema at the time.
- 3.3 The layout of the proposed building has been driven by above-ground constraints and design guidance. Unfortunately, the design does not utilise the areas previously excavated as much as it might have done if archaeologically led. The proposed foundation design / pile caps will impact upon the remains of the cinema, medieval and potentially earlier pockets of archaeology within the northern half of the site. In the southern half the pile caps will impact upon significant archaeological deposits preserved as part of the Mecca Bingo construction.
- 3.4 The impact will include areas identified during previous investigations as potentially containing archaeology dating to the Anglian period. The archaeology relating to the Anglian period has been identified as of national significance, the resource relating to other periods across the site has not. However the known Anglian archaeology on the Mecca Bingo site is also not of the same quality or quantity as the excavated glassworks site immediately to the north of this site in the mid-1980s.

- 3.5 Implementation of the scheme will further divide and penetrate the remaining archaeology, particularly in the southern part of the site. Any legibility of deposits preserved in-situ will be compromised and the resource is likely to be no further understood. Furthermore, there are large obstructions in the northern half of the site which will potentially require pile probing which will also have a detrimental impact on any remaining pockets of in-situ archaeology.
- 3.6 In this case the public benefit of fully excavating the remaining elements of archaeology on-site is therefore the preferable approach. The information derived would complete the archaeological picture of the area running from the glassworks site to the north to Blue Bridge Lane to the south. By stripping the site, a final decision can be made as to whether it is possible to preserve any reasonably sized areas of archaeology in-situ. This approach will allow the most control over the archaeological deposits to be exerted. However, it is anticipated, given the amount of interventions past, present and proposed, that a full excavation is likely to be required in order to maximise public benefit from the site.
- 3.7 An archaeological remains management plan is required. This will set out the details for the initial strip, any monitoring during further site investigation and be updated when the final archaeological mitigation scheme is known. The plan should also set out a program of public engagement relating to the excavation. It may be possible to use the remaining structural elements of the Rialto Cinema as a public engagement tool. Publication of the findings, in particular how these relate to the excavations which have taken place on surrounding sites over the past 40 years, will then occur.

Design, Conservation and Sustainable Development - Architect

- 3.8 Required a significant setback between the north wing and Fishergate to allow for landscape. A setback of 3.5m to 4m is now proposed which is satisfactory.
- 3.9 Roof officer's preference was for the section of roof between the pairs of gables to be pitched reasonably, so the design is authentic and the gables are the prominent feature. Following discussion, the scheme has been amended on the elevation facing William Court (elevation 03) where the issue was most significant, due to the lack of staggered building line and intervening chimney between the gables.
- 3.10 The amenity of residents on William Court could be affected by the development, due to it being over-bearing and causing over-looking.

<u>Design, Conservation and Sustainable Development – Landscape</u>

3.11 The scheme has been amended to address the following recommendations -

- On the north side of the site officers recommended planting for outlook and to encourage wildlife. The most recent landscaping plan confirms the existing hedge and Alder trees retained with a grass margin by the building.
- Fishergate elevation strongly advised that the northeast gable be pulled back from Fishergate in order to create a stronger and more prestigious entrance and setting, and to better key the building into its landscape/streetscape context. The revised plans have achieved a setback of 3.5m to 4m.
- On the south side of the site as recommended the boundary railings have been pushed back from the footpath to increase the dominance of the planting.

Design, Conservation and Sustainable Development - Ecology

3.12 To provide appropriate biodiversity benefits ask for a condition to secure 4 integrate features for bat roosts and 4 for nesting birds.

Highway Network Management

- 3.13 Raised concern over the main entrance location and its relationship with Fishergate. This is because of the likelihood there will be drop-off and deliveries adjacent the entrance (despite current waiting restrictions). Such practice would raise safety concerns, in particular due to the proximity to the zebra crossing and proximity with the junction to the gyratory. It was asked for the access to be relocated. Officers have subsequently agreed the principle of a space on Blue Bridge Lane for drop-off.
- 3.14 The initial cycle parking provision, of around 65% is reasonable and compares to similar student accommodation schemes.
- 3.15 Contributions requested for highway works no stopping at any time on Fishergate, relocation of car parking on Blue Bridge Lane and provision of a space for drop-off. A contribution is sought for the Council to assist with the Travel Plan and ensure it is it is appropriate in terms of securing targeted sustainable travel measures. A student management plan, to deal with the possible issue of students parking locally to the detriment of highway safety, is requested. A similar approach to the student accommodation scheme at Frederick House recommended.

Public Protection

Noise

3.16 Ask for conditions to require adequate noise levels within the proposed accommodation, to approve details and noise levels of machinery, plant and equipment. The submitted construction management plan is acceptable in terms of measures regarding construction noise (& dust).

Land contamination

3.17 Past site activities could have given rise to land contamination and potential contaminants. The site appraisal report recommends that an intrusive ground contamination assessment be carried out to find out whether contamination is present. Officers recommend conditions for a remediation scheme and implementation.

Air quality

3.18 Construction - through good site practice and the implementation of suitable mitigation measures, the effect of dust and particulate matter releases would be minimised and the residual effects are not anticipated to be significant. Operational impacts – no objection or mitigation requested.

Electric vehicle charging facilities

3.19 Officers request 1 electric vehicle charging point, with passive provision for a further space.

<u>Designing our crime office (North Yorkshire Police)</u>

- 3.20The most significant crime issues that could affect this development are burglary, cycle theft and criminal damage. It is recommended that —
- Communal entrance doors fitted with a self-closing mechanism with a lock which engages automatically. Opening restrictors to windows.
- CCTV coverage to cycle storage.
- Access to cores be restricted.

York Civic Trust

- 3.21 The Trust is generally supportive of the design concept and form. The pitched roofs with gable ends are a positive design choice and a welcome contrast to the flat-roofed square-forms of other similar contemporary developments.
- 3.22 Would like to see more planting between the north wing and Fishergate. The impact of the building here is exacerbated by the largely blank gable ends with little detailing. A setback the width of one of the student rooms i.e. about 4m, allowing for soft landscaping, would allow the scheme to not appear overbearing.
- 3.23 A more prominent entrance to the scheme recommended, to define the buildings function and to provide architectural interest.
- 3.24 The expanse of cycle parking in the northern courtyard takes up over half the courtyard and is unduly prominent. Its location directly in front of the main entrance further contributes to the obscuring of the entrance-way and entrance space. Suggest the facilities are more evenly distributed throughout the site.

3.25 Accessibility to the communal areas is queried as it is all provided within the south block.

Yorkshire Water

3.26 The drainage strategy is agreed to. Recommend conditions in respect of systems for foul and surface water and implementation of the drainage strategy. A condition is requested to agree measures during construction to protect the public sewer adjacent the site.

4.0 REPRESENTATIONS

4.1 There have been 46 contributors on the application. One in support. The comments are as follows –

Adverse effect on neighbours' amenity

- Over-bearing and overlooking due to the scale of the building and its proximity to neighbouring houses and gardens. Adverse effects to William Court and Fishergate House.
- Noise due to comings and goings of residents and deliveries.
- Noise from sub-station / servicing / plant and equipment of the development. All these items are towards the rear by William Court.
- Odour from waste storage
- The should be no access from William Court
- The transient nature of students will detract from the local community.
- No on-site management 24 hr to control behaviour.
- Outdoor spaces could cause noise disturbance.

Highway safety

- Could result in excessive pedestrian traffic at the crossing before/after school.
- Increase in traffic due to deliveries and servicing and residents
- Student arrivals and departures plan is unrealistic.
- Lack of parking will means cars parked locally. Local parking zones already congested due to the number of cars associated with HMO's.
- Lack of drop-off / servicing bay on Fishergate
- There should be 1 cycle space per unit and storage should be covered.
- The scheme should provide funding to improve cycling on the highway network.
- Traffic management plan and travel plan not fit for purpose
- William Court not of adequate dimensions to be able to accommodate the servicing requirements associated with the development.

Visual impact

- Building looks stark and out of context.

- Over-development of the site, the site is of a similar size to William Court but would accommodate far more residents.
- Building unduly high; should reflect the 3-storey development at William Court.
- Missed opportunity to create a landmark building at this prominent location.
- Contrasting brickwork to William Court

Air Quality

- Detrimental impact on air quality. Due to delays to traffic causes by more people using the zebra crossings and a as consequence of increased traffic.

The type of development proposed

- Lack of evidenced need for student accommodation; higher need for market housing. Other student accommodation schemes have not achieved 100% occupancy rates. Any further student housing should be on campus.
- Loss of leisure / community facility and lack of evidence to show no demand for previous use.
- The scheme will be great for surrounding business.
- Student accommodation unsuitable for a site so close to the river.
- A communal / commercial facility within the building with wider public access would be welcome.
- Poor quality of amenity for future residents due to room sizes.
- Access arrangements in case of fire?
- Pressure on surrounding infrastructure.
- Inadequate percentage of the rooms are accessible (only 4).
- Gas fired boilers unacceptable on sustainability grounds.

Councillor D Taylor

- Concerned that there may be too much student accommodation built in Fishergate Ward and this development might not be viable.
- More needs to be done to reduce overlooking of neighbours and their gardens.
 Some thought has been given to this, but little regard given to the overlooking of residents of Fewster Way.
- The corner of Blue Bridge Lane and Fishergate is a prominent gateway into the city. How the building addresses the corner could be improved. It is however acknowledged as an improvement to the existing situation. The building where it fronts Fishergate lacks interest. A further setback from the street is recommended as a possible solution in this respect.
- Positive about the garden area on Blue Bridge Lane as this breaks-up the monotonous blank wall of the Mecca Bingo currently in situ. Approve of the two colours of red brick which adds colour and variation to the massing of a large building.
- Concern there is no lay-by immediately by the entrance. Delivery / serving vehicles stopping up and blocking the highway/pavement in this area could affect highway safety.

- Traffic on A19 delayed by persons using the zebra crossing. This could have an adverse effect on air quality.
- Construction traffic delivery times should avoid peak hours and school opening / closing times
- Operational concerns should be staff on site always to deal with any concerns regarding noise and management measures to prevent students parking in the surrounding area.

5.0 APPRAISAL

Key issues

- 5.1 The key issues in assessment of this scheme are -
- Principle of the proposed development
- Heritage Assets / Archaeology
- Design of the proposed building
- Neighbours amenity
- Highway safety and sustainable travel
- Public protection
- Drainage

Principle of the proposed development

- 5.2 Key sections in the NPPF in considering whether the proposed development would be acceptable in principle are Section 5. Delivering a sufficient supply of homes, 8. Promoting healthy and safe communities and 11. Making effective use of land. The policies within the NPPF establish that in principle the proposed use is acceptable. NPPF paragraph 38 states "decision-makers at every level should seek to approve applications for sustainable development where possible". Paragraph 11d establishes that in this case planning permission should be granted unless any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits.
- 5.3 NPPF Section 5 states that "to support the Government's objective of significantly boosting the supply of homes (which includes student housing), it is important that a sufficient amount and variety of land can come forward where it is needed, that the needs of groups with specific housing requirements are addressed and that land with permission is developed without unnecessary delay".
- 5.4 The site is previously developed and in a sustainable urban location. The proposed re-use of the site in principle conforms with NPPF section 11, which requires planning decisions should:-

- Promote an effective use of land in meeting the need for homes and other uses, while safeguarding and improving the environment and ensuring safe and healthy living conditions (paragraph 119).
- Give substantial weight to the value of using suitable brownfield land within settlements for homes and other identified needs (120).
- Local Planning Authority's should take a proactive role in identifying and helping to bring forward land that may be suitable for meeting development needs (121).
- Take a positive approach to applications for alternative uses of land, where it is developed but not allocated for a specific purpose in plans, where this would help to meet identified development needs. (123).
- 5.5 There is deemed not to be a policy conflict due to the loss of the former use. Section 8 of the NPPF relates to healthy and safe communities and includes policy for the loss of facilities. In paragraph 93 it states that "to provide the social, recreational and cultural facilities and services the community needs, planning policies and decisions should plan positively for the provision and use of shared spaces, community facilities (such as local shops, meeting places, sports venues, open space, cultural buildings, public houses and places of worship) and other local services, to enhance the sustainability of communities and residential environments". The emphasis is on the protection of facilities that cater for peoples day-to-day needs. The loss of a bingo hall, which has ceased trading, is deemed not to be a facility or service that is essential in providing for community needs.
- 5.6 Of the 2018 eLP policy HW3 relates to protecting existing facilities. As per the NPPF the background text advises the policy relates to community facilities should be taken to mean buildings, facilities, and services that meet the day-to-day-needs of communities. This may include libraries, post offices, and community meeting places, such as youth groups, places of worship, and parish and village halls. The former use, bingo hall and car park, are considered not to be facilities essential for the day to day needs of the community.
- 5.7 The loss of the existing facility does not carry significant weight. Given the location of the site, within an accessible distance of the city centre and the nearby amenities, public buildings and commercial uses around Fishergate, Fawcett Street and towards Lawrence Street, there are alternative locations and facilities where the former use could be accommodated, if there were the demand.
- 5.8 Policy H7 Student Housing within the 2018 eLP carries limited weight in decision-making at this stage as the emerging plan is not adopted. It is against the NPPF policies that this proposal should principally be assessed. H7 states proposals for new student accommodation will be supported where:
- there is a proven need for student housing; and

- it is in an appropriate location for education institutions and accessible by sustainable transport modes; and
- development would not be detrimental to the amenity of nearby residents and the design and access arrangements would have a minimal impact on the local area.
- 5.9 The amount of purpose built student accommodation (PBSA), operated by the university and other operators, even when including permissions yet to be implemented, could accommodate around 40% of students (in full time education). The data evidences need for PBSA. Taking into account NPPF policy on decision making (in paragraph 38) which states Local planning authorities should approach decisions on proposed development in a positive and creative way and decision-makers at every level should seek to approve applications for sustainable development where possible, the application could not be resisted in principle on the basis of need.
- 5.10 Schemes for PBSA at Fawcett Street (21/01570/FULM) and Fulford Road (19/00603/FULM), within close proximity to this site, have recently been considered, with no objection on location grounds. The location is suitable for student accommodation, given the proximity to the city centre and York University. The site is in a sustainable location, just outside of the city centre, as shown in the 2018 eLP proposals maps.
- 5.11 The impact on nearby residents and the local area is appraised in the following sections regarding design and amenity.

Heritage Assets / Archaeology

Character and appearance of the conservation area

- 5.12 The site is outside of, but adjacent to, the Central Historic Core Conservation Area. The Council has a statutory duty (under section 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990) to consider the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of designated Conservation Areas. Where there is found to be harm to the character or appearance of the Conservation Area, the statutory duty means that such harm should be afforded considerable importance and weight when carrying out the balancing exercise. The approach to determining planning applications, in terms of assessment on Heritage Assets, is set out in Section 16 of the NPPF.
- 5.13 The site is just outside of the Fishergate character area of the Central Historic Core Conservation Area. The conservation area was extended as part of the most recent appraisal, to include Fawcett Street and Fishergate. The character area appraisal overview states "the historic character of the area is fragmented by modern development and its ambience is compromised by high volumes of fast moving cars it essentially operates as a traffic island. Despite these issues, the area should be

incorporated within the Conservation Area as it forms an important entry point to the city and provides a setting for the city walls". The 'opportunities' recommended for the area include more pedestrian crossing points on Fishergate.

5.14 The site as existing differs from the prevalent conservation area character along Fishergate, taking into account urban grain / townscape, building materials and local vernacular. The proposed scheme will better address the street considering the form, proportion and materiality of the proposed buildings, which are of a comparable scale to neighbouring buildings, reference local vernacular in their use of front gables and use of red brick (two tones of red-multi brickwork is proposed). The layout will provide views into the two landscaped courtyards, reflecting the character of Fishergate House to the south. Consultation responses have referred to the front gable of the north wing and its undue close proximity to Fishergate. This concern has been addressed in the revised scheme (now proposed) and the front gable has been pushed back between 3.5m to 4m from the footpath. This allows for soft landscaping that will complement the planting in front of the site (within the highway) and Fishergate School opposite. There is no identified harm to the Central Historic Core Conservation Area (which is adjacent the site).

Setting of listed buildings

- 5.15 Fishergate Primary School, on the opposite side of the road is Grade II listed, as is Fishergate House to the south, and Ivy Cottage at 33 Fishergate to the north.
- 5.16 Section 66 of the of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 advises that in considering whether to grant planning permission for development which affects a listed building or its setting, the local planning authority shall have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses.
- 5.17 The listed buildings in the vicinity of the site are all within an urban inner city location. The proposed development will not affect how these buildings are appreciated in context and public views will not be affected. The scheme has a neutral effect on listed buildings.

Archaeology

- 5.18 The site is within the City Centre Area of Archaeological Importance. NPPF paragraph 194 states that "where a site on which development is proposed includes, or has the potential to include, heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation".
- 5.19 Policy D6 of the 2018 eLP advises that proposals will be supported where harm to archaeological deposits is unavoidable, when detailed mitigation measures have

been agreed with City of York Council that include, where appropriate, provision for deposit monitoring, investigation, recording, analysis, publication, archive deposition and community involvement.

5.20 The applications have provided an adequate desk-based assessment, as required by the NPPF. York Archaeological Trust have also undertaken preliminary site investigations, which are reported in the application and inform the proposed mitigation agreed with the Council's Archaeologist. The mitigation will be secured through condition and comprise stripping the site, to determine whether archaeology can be preserved in-situ, considering the foundation design, otherwise there will be excavation (a full excavation is expected). The mitigation, combined with the benefits of the proposed regeneration of the site, outweigh the impact on archaeology if excavation is required. The approach will be set out in an archaeological remains management plan. The plan will be required to set out a program of public engagement relating to the excavation. It may be possible to use the remaining structural elements of the Rialto Cinema as a public engagement tool. Publication of the findings, in particular how these relate to the excavations which have taken place on surrounding sites over the past 40 years, will then occur.

<u>Design</u>

5.21 NPPF paragraph 130 sets out design considerations. In addition paragraph 131 now emphasises the importance of trees in urban environments. Paragraph 130 advises developments should -

- a) function well and add to the overall quality of the area over the lifetime of the development;
- b) be visually attractive as a result of good architecture, layout and appropriate and effective landscaping;
- c) be sympathetic to local character and history, including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting, while not preventing or discouraging appropriate innovation or change (such as increased densities);
- d) establish or maintain a strong sense of place, using the arrangement of streets, spaces, building types and materials to create attractive, welcoming and distinctive places to live, work and visit;
- e) optimise the potential of the site to accommodate and sustain an appropriate amount and mix of development (including green and other public space) and support local facilities and transport networks; and
- f) create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users; and where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion and resilience.

- 5.22 The scheme is NPPF compliant in respect of good design, in respect of its function, provision of amenities, appearance in respect of the local area and it introduces new tree planting, where servicing / drainage storage requirements allow.
- 5.23 The buildings layout and design has been influenced by the following factors –
- Provide a main entrance from Fishergate so the majority of activity; comings and goings of occupants is concentrated to Fishergate.
- Communal uses on the wing of the building facing Fishergate to provide a more active frontage. This also enables a communal space looking onto the larger landscaped courtyard.
- To avoid narrowing the footpath or compromising the cycle route on Fishergate space will provided on Blue Bridge Lane to accommodate deliveries / drop off. The layout will facilitate this with a minor / secondary access on the south side of the building.
- Detailed pre-application discussions with the Council's Design and Conservation team have informed the buildings scale and form, which respects the areas prevalent character.
- Cycle storage has been re-organised so trees can be accommodated and landscaping is more prominent in the north courtyard, both in terms of residents outlook and in views from Fishergate.
- Surrounding residents has been considered. On the south side of the building there is open space which reflects the Fishergate House layout. The location, orientation and size of windows have all been carefully considered to avoid overlooking surrounding properties at William Court ad Fishergate House.
- 5.24 The scheme includes a mix of studio rooms and cluster flats (the largest cluster has 13 bedrooms, although all but two of the clusters have fewer than 10 bedrooms). Given the mix of accommodation types, and the provision and variety of communal space for all residents at ground level and in the courtyard there is adequate amenities within the scheme. The internal communal facilities provide over 300 sq m floor space.
- 5.25 Fire strategy a dry riser system is proposed to be installed in the cores (staircase areas) this allows fire-fighting to be undertaken within the building if required. On this basis tenders only need to gain access within 18m of each dry riser as set out in BS 9991 (fire safety in design). This is provision is achieved in the proposed scheme.
- 5.26 The appearance of the scheme; the buildings and landscaping and how it respects the local area is set out in the section on Heritage Assets. The scale, form and materials of the building better respect the area compared to the site in its existing condition. The scheme is also beneficial in providing landscaped areas, which will be visible from Fishergate and Blue Bridge Lane.

5.27 The proposed condition related to site management will cover secure by design measures. In particular the presence of on-site security, access control and CCTV coverage of the cycle store areas.

Sustainable design

- 5.28 Local requirements for buildings in terms of addressing climate change are eLP 2018 policies CC1 and CC2, which seek to secure enhancements over the 2013 Building Regulations. New buildings are expected to have a dwelling emission rate (DER) that is a 28% improvement over the 2013 regulations.
- 5.29 A reduction of 28.22% over a baseline building has been estimated to be achievable, through incorporating combined heat and power (CHP), photovoltaics, a variable refrigerant flow (VRF) system for heating and cooling amenity spaces, and mechanical ventilation and heat recovery (MVHR). The local requirement can be secured through planning condition.

Biodiversity

- 5.30 The NPPF states decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by minimising the impacts on, and providing net gains for biodiversity and recognising the wider benefits from natural capital and ecosystem services including the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land, and of trees and woodland.
- 5.31 Net gain would be achieved as a consequence of the additional areas of soft landscaping proposed on-site. A condition is also proposed to provide habitats for bats and birds within the building fabric.

Neighbours amenity

5.32 Paragraph 130 of the NPPF states that developments should create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users.

William Court

- 5.33 The rear of the north wing of the proposed building was moved further away from the west boundary in revised plans. The separation is now 10.7m between the end elevation and the boundary with the rear garden of 25 William Court.
- 5.34 The separation between the proposed building and neighbouring rear garden is the same as that between the front elevation of 18 William Court and the rear garden to 19 William Court. The upper floor windows on the proposed building in this area have also been set at an angle so only a narrow section of the window (some 34cm wide) looks towards the neighbour's garden. The section of the building opposite the

side elevation of 25 is closer, around 9.5m from the boundary. There are only two small secondary windows in the side elevation on no.25. The neighbour is an end of terrace house; the main windows are to the front and rear. As the scale of buildings would not be significantly different (the ridge of the proposed building is just under 1m taller than the neighbour), the separation distances comparable to elsewhere on William Court, and given the window design, the proposed development, reflects local conditions and would not be unduly overbearing or over-dominant.

5.35 At the south end of the site windows on the proposed building are also orientated to look away from rear gardens and towards either the side elevation of buildings or more public streets and spaces. The proposed building will be setback from the footpath edge, behind a strip of landscaping. The ridge level of the proposed front gables are under 1m higher than the ridge levels of housing at William Court. There would not be undue overlooking. In terms of building scale and proximity there is not a material difference, to the extent that amenity is affected, between the existing and proposed buildings on-site.

Fishergate House

5.36 The building footprint is predominantly set away from Blue Bridge Lane as the main courtyard garden is on the south side of the site. The proposed building is far less oppressive compared to the existing. There are only two ends of the east and west wings that extend to the boundary. The primary windows on these wings look east / west and not towards Fishergate House. Only at the south-east corner is there a living room with a large south facing window. The windows architecturally help address what is a prominent corner. They would overlook a shared communal space but have no adverse amenity effect on the dwelling to the south which is some 25m away.

Fewster way

5.37 The north wing of the building will be approximately 21m from the side elevation and garden of the nearest house at Fewster Way. This is reasonable in respect of amenity.

Building services noise and sub-station

5.38 The plant room enclosures will be capable of attenuating any plant noise. This will be covered through condition. Separation distances between sub-stations and residential accommodation are recommended to be 3m and this is achieved.

5.39 A condition is recommended regarding on-site management and operation of the development in respect of avoiding noise disturbance.

Highway safety and sustainable travel

5.40 The NPPF states that in assessing applications it should be ensured that:

- Opportunities to promote sustainable transport included where appropriate.
- Safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all users.
- Any significant impacts from the development on the transport network (in terms of capacity and congestion), or on highway safety, can be cost effectively mitigated to an acceptable degree.
- 5.41 The NPPF states "Development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe.
- 5.42 Given the type of development proposed, and its location, an essentially car free development accords with the NPPF policies and objectives. It is also consistent with the approach taken at other city centre sites with purpose built student accommodation, which have successfully integrated into the locality. The car parking provision (5 spaces) is for accessible parking and to accommodate a space for the car share / car club only. There will be an electric vehicle charging facility also.
- 5.43 A full travel plan, prepared in accordance with national guidance, setting ongoing monitoring / targets will be required through condition. The expectation would be that this is managed by the site operator and is therefore site specific. The purpose of the travel plan will be to encourage sustainable travel. A contribution has been agreed for the Council to provide input to the Travel Plan over its lifetime and ensure it is appropriate in respect of targets, monitoring, and implementation.
- 5.44 Cycle storage the storage provision provides 44 spaces within the main building, plus 2 larger/over-sized spaces. Within the north courtyard are a further 124 spaces that would be covered and secure. This provides a provision of 61% initially. There is further space within the courtyard for future provision subject to demand. The provision of 60% is acceptable for the type of use proposed. Based on post-occupation at comparable PBSA, the initial provision will provide for demand.
- 5.45 The waste collection point and access for servicing / maintenance has been tracked to show appropriate vehicles can access. It is acceptable on highway safety grounds.
- 5.46 Space for drop-offs (for example taxis) will be introduced on Blue Bridge Lane, with an access point into the site provided. This is the preferred option as such a facility could not be accommodated on Fishergate without adverse effect on the existing provision for pedestrians and cyclists.
- 5.47 There are other purpose built student accommodation schemes of a similar location and scale to as proposed which have been in operation for some time now. There is no compelling evidence that these have an adverse effect on the highway network. A condition is however proposed that through the operator students are made aware they cannot bring cars to site and there will be measures to be agreed in

respect of addressing any safety issues of students parking in surrounding streets that may arise.

- 5.48 In conjunction with the scheme it has been agreed the developer would fund amendments to existing restrictions in front of the site on Fishergate to ensure no stopping / waiting at any times. This is considered necessary in the interests of all users of the highway.
- 5.49 The construction management plan provided advises that measures will be in place during construction so delivery vehicles will not attend site between the hours of 8.15am-9am or 14:45- 16:00, to avoid the beginning and end of the school day.
- 5.50 For the beginning and end of term arrangements a management plan has been issued. The plan confirms marshals will be employed to supervise the locality on moving days, which will be phased over two weekends. Students will need to book a 20 minute moving in slot (therefore using the 5 spaces on site 15 arrivals per hour could be accommodated).

Public protection

5.51 Section 15 of the NPPF, regarding the natural environment advises that planning decisions should contribute to the natural and local environment by preventing new and existing development from contributing to, being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by, unacceptable levels of soil, air, water or noise pollution. Paragraph 186 states opportunities to improve air quality or mitigate impacts should be identified, such as through traffic and travel management, and green infrastructure provision and enhancement.

Land contamination

5.52 Standard conditions are proposed for a site investigation, to inform a remediation strategy and for evidence the remediation has been successful.

Noise

5.53 A noise impact assessment has been undertaken to inform local noise conditions. This provides comfort that by design future residents will experience reasonable noise levels. Conditions are proposed to secure such construction and also so that plant / machinery (including the sub-stations) will not have an adverse effect on neighbours.

Construction management

5.54 A construction management plan (CEMP) has been submitted and is considered broadly acceptable by Public Protection. Officers have asked only for an update in terms of the air quality measures within the scheme, to reflect the impacts and mitigation identified in the applications air quality assessment. This can be dealt with,

through an update to the CEMP prior to determination of the application alternatively through condition.

EV parking

5.55 A condition is recommended to require electric vehicle charging points in accordance with the Council's Low Emission Strategy.

Air quality

5.56 The application is supported with a technical air quality assessment, it has been reviewed by the Council's Public Protection Team and deemed acceptable. The assessment determines impacts during the construction phase, mitigation is recommended and will be secured through planning condition. Operational impacts has been determined as negligible / not significant. Further to the assessment there are benefits as a consequence of the scheme. There are currently 128 car parking spaces on site. This would be reduced to 5 parking spaces, which will include electric vehicle charging points and a space for a car club vehicle. The site is currently all developed significantly, with buildings or hard-standing for parking. The amount of soft landscaping and number of trees on-site will increase.

Drainage and flood risk

- 5.57 The NPPF in paragraph 167 establishes that when determining any planning applications, flood risk elsewhere should not be increased and sustainable drainage systems be incorporated, unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate. The local approach following the NPPF, in policy ENV5, is that existing surface water rates are evidenced and reduced by 30%. It also applies the sustainable drainage hierarchy.
- 5.58 Following the sustainable drainage hierarchy connection into the sewer is proposed. Site investigation has determined that soakaways would not perform adequately and direct connection into a watercourse is not achievable. The run-off rate proposed, and agreed with Yorkshire Water, is 27.5 litres / sec. The run-off rate would exceed the local requirement in ENV5; it would reduce the existing run-off rate by over 30%.
- 5.59 The site is outside of Flood Zones 2 and 3. The development is therefore appropriate in terms of flood risk and NPPF paragraph 159 which seeks to direct development away from areas at the highest risk (of flooding).

6.0 CONCLUSION

6.1 The NPPF establishes the need to take a positive approach to decision-making and the significant weight given to economic growth. Having regard to the statutory

duties in sections 66 and 72 of Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas Act, the development would not harm the setting of any designated heritage assets. Archaeological interests can be appropriately maintained through recording. There are no policies in the NPPF that protect assets of particular importance which provide a clear reason for refusing the development in this instance. Therefore the presumption in favour of development applies in this case; that, as stated in Paragraph 11d, planning permission should be granted unless any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits when assessed against the policies in the NPPF taken as a whole.

- 6.2 There would be no significant adverse effect, in terms of the loss of the current uses of the site, that would outweigh the benefits of the proposed use. The scheme is considered an improvement over the existing site in terms of how it respects local character. There would be no undue effect on neighbours' amenity and adequate amenities for future occupants. Technical matters can be addressed, to achieve policy compliance, through conditions in respect of sustainable design and construction, biodiversity, drainage, archaeology, the highway network and ground conditions and pollution.
- 6.3 Approval is recommended subject to conditions and a Section 106 agreement for the following –
- Traffic Regulation Orders (£6,000) to provide for amending existing waiting restrictions on Fishergate to 'No waiting and no Loading at any time'.
- Travel Plan support (£25,000 (£5,000 per year)) for the Council to provide input and ensure the travel plan is implemented reasonably over a 5-year period following occupation.

7.0 RECOMMENDATION: Approve subject to Section 106 Agreement

- 1 TIME2 Development start within three years
- The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following plans and other submitted details:-

Site plan (451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0010 PA0

Proposed floor plans and roof (451)2101-GWP-01-00-DR-A-(PA)-0012 PA0

(451)2101-GWP-01-01-DR-A-(PA)-0013 PA0 (451)2101-GWP-01-01-DR-A-(PA)-0014 PA0 (451)2101-GWP-01-01-DR-A-(PA)-0015 PA0 (451)2101-GWP-01-01-DR-A-(PA)-0016 PA0

Proposed elevations

(451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0020 PA0 (451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0021 PA0 (451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0022 PA0 (451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0023 PA0 (451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0024 PA0

Proposed sections

(451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0031 PA03 (451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0032 PA03

Large scale details

(451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0040 PA03 (451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0041 PA03 (451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0042 PA03 (451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0043 PA03

Typical bedrooms

(451)2101-GWP-01-XX-DR-A-(PA)-0060 PA03

Cycle provision

(451)2101-GWP-01-00-DR-A-(PA)-0055_PA0

Sub-stations

(451)2101-GWP-01-00-DR-A-(PA)-0050 PA06

Landscaping proposals by encon drawing A5102 01 rev H

Tree Protection Plan DR-5473-02 by Brooks Ecological (contained in Arboricultural Impact Assessment)

Construction management plan

Waste management strategy by Curtins revision V03.

Student Traffic Management Plan 078912-CUR-00-XX-RP-TP-004-V04_TS revision V04

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure that the development is carried out only as approved by the Local Planning Authority.

- 3 HWAY40 Dilapidation survey
- 4 NOISE7 Restricted hours of construction

Application Reference Number: 21/01605/FULM

Item 4b

5 Construction Management

The construction of the development hereby permitted shall be carried out in full adherence with the construction management plan revision A dated 19.5.2021.

Air quality

Reason: To minimise the impact on residential amenity and the highway network during construction, in accordance with NPPF paragraphs 110, 130 and 185.

6 Archaeology

A programme of post-determination archaeological mitigation initially an archaeological strip of the site followed by a level of excavation is required.

- a) No intrusive investigation or development shall commence until an Archaeological Remains Management Plan (ARMP) has been submitted to and approved by the local planning authority in writing. For land that is included within the ARMP, no intrusive investigation or development shall take place other than in accordance with the agreed ARMP.
- b) The initial site investigation shall be completed in accordance with the programme set out in the ARMP approved under (A). The ARMP will be updated accordingly with a full mitigation strategy.
- c) The site investigation and post-investigation assessment shall be completed in accordance with the programme set out in the approved ARMP and the provision made for analysis, publication and dissemination of results and archive deposition will be secured. This part of the condition shall not be discharged until these elements have been fulfilled in accordance with the programme set out in the ARMP.
- d) A copy of a report and evidence of publication shall be deposited with City of York Historic Environment Record to allow public dissemination of results within 6 months of completion or such other period as may be agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In accordance with Section 16 of NPPF as the site lies within an Area of Archaeological Importance and the development will affect important archaeological deposits which must be preserved in-situ or recorded prior to destruction.

7 Drainage - existing infrastructure

No development shall commence until measures to protect the public sewerage and water supply infrastructure that is laid within/adjacent to the site boundary have been implemented in accordance with details that have been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with the statutory undertaker).

The details shall include -

- The means of ensuring that access to the pipe(s) for the purposes of repair and maintenance by the statutory undertaker shall be retained at all times.
- If the required stand-off or protection measures are to be achieved via diversion or closure of the sewer(s) or water main(s), the developer shall submit evidence to the Local Planning Authority that the diversion or closure has been agreed with the relevant statutory undertaker and that, prior to construction in the affected area, the approved works have been undertaken.

Reason: Required prior to commencement in the interests of public health and maintaining the public sewerage and public water networks (maintained by Yorkshire Water), in accordance with sections 12 and 15 of the NPPF.

8 On-site drainage

The site shall be developed in accordance with the drainage strategy as detailed in the Tier Consult report dated May 2021. Surface water will discharge via storage with a restricted discharge of 27.5 (twenty seven point five) litres per second.

Prior to development (excluding demolition) full details of the site drainage shall have been submitted to the Local Planning Authority and approved in writing. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Details shall include -

- Consideration must be given to the use of soakaways. Discharge to the public sewer shall only be permitted if it can be evidenced soakaways are unsuitable (through an appropriate assessment carried out under BRE Digest 365).
- Storage volume calculations, using computer modelling, which must accommodate a 1:30 year storm with no surface flooding, along with no internal flooding of buildings or surface run-off from the site in a 1:100 year storm. Proposed areas within the model must also include an additional 30% allowance for climate change. The modelling must use a range of storm durations, with both summer and winter profiles, to find the worst-case volume required.
- Existing and proposed ground levels.
- Future management and maintenance of the proposed drainage scheme.

Reason: In the interests of preventing increased flood risk, as required under NPPF section 15, policy ENV5 of the 2018 eLP and the City of York Council Sustainable Drainage Systems Guidance for Developers.

9 Land contamination - site investigation

Prior to development (excluding demolition) an investigation and risk assessment (in addition to any assessment provided with the planning application) shall be undertaken to assess the nature and extent of any land contamination. The investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken by competent persons. A written report of the findings shall be produced, submitted to and approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. The report of the findings must include:

- (i) a survey of the extent, scale and nature of contamination (including ground gases where appropriate);
- (ii) an assessment of the potential risks to:
 - human health,
- property (existing or proposed) including buildings, crops, livestock, pets, woodland and service lines and pipes,
 - adjoining land,
 - groundwaters and surface waters,
 - ecological systems,
 - archaeological sites and ancient monuments;
- (iii) an appraisal of remedial options, and proposal of the preferred option(s).

This shall be conducted in accordance with DEFRA and the Environment Agency's 'Model Procedures for the Management of Land Contamination, CLR 11'.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

10 Land contamination - remediation

Prior to development (excluding demolition), a detailed remediation scheme to bring the site to a condition suitable for the intended use (by removing unacceptable risks to human health, buildings and other property and the natural and historical environment) shall be submitted to and approved in writing of the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall include all works to be undertaken, proposed remediation objectives and remediation criteria, timetable of works and site management procedures. The scheme shall ensure that the site will not qualify as contaminated land under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in relation to the intended use of the land after remediation.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

11 LC3 Land contamination - remedial works

Prior to first occupation or use, the approved remediation scheme shall be carried out in accordance with its terms and a verification report that demonstrates the effectiveness of the remediation carried out must be produced and is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems.

12 LC4 Land contamination - unexpected contamination

In the event that contamination is found at any time when carrying out the approved development that was not previously identified, it must be reported in writing immediately to the Local Planning Authority. An investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken and where remediation is necessary a remediation scheme must be prepared, which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. Following completion of measures identified in the approved remediation scheme a verification report must be prepared, which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

13 Sustainable design and construction

Prior to commencement of construction of the development details of the proposed building design, to reduce carbon emissions, shall be submitted to the local planning authority and approved in writing. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

The details shall evidence either a reduction in carbon emissions of at least 28% compared to the target emission rate as required under Part L of the Building Regulations 2013 or compliance with any approved Part L document dated 2021 or thereafter.

Reason: To fulfil the environmental objectives of the NPPF and support the transition to a low carbon future, and in accordance with policy CC2 of the Publication Draft Local Plan 2018.

14 Materials

Manufacturer's details of the external materials to be used shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of the construction of the development. They shall be made available for review on-site, at the discretion of the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out using the approved materials.

Sample panels of the brickwork to be used shall be erected on the site and shall illustrate the colour, texture and bonding of brickwork/ stonework and the mortar treatment to be used, and shall be approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of building works within that phase. These panels shall be retained until a minimum of 2 square metres of wall of the approved development has been completed in accordance with the approved sample.

Reason: In the interests of good design, in accordance with section 12 of the NPPF.

15 Large scale details

Details of the items listed below shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of construction of the development and the works shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

- a) Typical sections at 1:20 or 1:10
- b) Boundary treatment
- c) Cover to external cycle store

Reason: In the interests of good design, in accordance with section 12 of the NPPF.

16 Noise

Prior to commencement of construction of the development a detailed scheme of noise insulation measures for protecting the approved residential dwellings to the development from externally generated noise shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

The scheme shall demonstrate that the building envelope of all residential accommodation shall be constructed so as to achieve internal noise levels in habitable rooms of no greater than 35 dB LAeq (16 hour) during the day (07:00-23:00 hrs) and 30 dB LAeq (8 hour) and LAFMax level during the night (23:00-07:00 hours) should not exceed 45dB(A) on more than 10 occasions in any night time period in bedrooms and should not regularly exceed 55dB(A). These noise levels shall be observed with all windows open in the habitable rooms or if necessary windows closed and other

means of ventilation provided.

Reason: To protect the amenity of people living in the new property from externally generated noise and in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 130.

17 Landscaping scheme

Prior to first occupation of the development hereby permitted the approved landscaping scheme, as shown on drawing Landscaping proposals by encon drawing A5102 01 rev H, has been fully completed.

Any trees or plants which die, are removed or become seriously damaged or diseased shall be replaced in the next planting season with others of a similar size and species, unless alternatives are agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The areas of landscaping, as shown on the approved plans, shall be maintained as such at all times.

Reason: So that the Local Planning Authority may be satisfied with the variety, suitability and disposition of species within the site in the interests of amenity, good design and the character and appearance of the conservation area.

18 Provision of servicing areas, cycle storage and making good of the highway

Prior to first occupation of the development hereby permitted the areas shown on the approved plans for parking and manoeuvring of vehicles and cycle parking facilities shall have been constructed and laid out in accordance with the approved plans, and all existing vehicular crossings not shown as being retained on the approved plans shall have been removed by reinstating the kerb; to match adjacent levels. Thereafter all such servicing areas shall be retained solely for such purposes.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety and good design, in accordance with sections 9 and 12 of the NPPF.

19 Plant and machinery

The combined rating level of any building service noise associated with plant or equipment at the site shall not exceed the representative LA90 1 hour during the hours of 07:00 to 23:00 or representative LA90 15 minutes during the hours of 23:00 to 07:00 at 1 metre from the nearest noise sensitive facades when assessed in accordance with BS4142: 2014, inclusive of any acoustic feature corrections associated with tonal, impulsive, distinctive or intermittent characteristics.

Reason: To protect the amenity of nearby properties and the environmental qualities of the area, in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework paragraph

20 Electric vehicle charging facilities

Prior to first occupation of the development hereby permitted a minimum of 1 Electric Vehicle Recharging Point shall be provided on site which is accessible from the approved car parking spaces. The charging point shall incorporate a suitably rated 32A 'IEC 62196' electrical socket to allow 'Mode 3' charging of an electric vehicle.

In addition, a minimum of 1 additional parking bay shall be identified for the future installation of additional Electric Vehicle Charging Point. This additional bay shall be provided with all necessary ducting, cabling and groundwork to facilitate the addition of Electric Vehicle Charge Points in the future, if required (passive provision).

The Electric Vehicle facilities shall be retained thereafter and reasonably maintained at all times and be available for the charging of electric vehicles.

Reason: To promote and facilitate the uptake of electric vehicles on the site in line with the Council's Low Emission Strategy (LES) and NPPF paragraph 112.

21 Site security

Prior to first occupation of the development hereby permitted a scheme detailing site security measures shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall operate in accordance with the approved details. The scheme shall detail -

- Access control measures at the site and into cores within the building.
- CCTV coverage for the cycle stores
- Access restriction measures to ground floor windows

Reason: In the interests of good design, in accordance with NPPF section 12.

22 Site and student management plan

Prior to first occupation of the development hereby permitted a site and student management plan shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall at all times be managed and occupied in full accordance with the approved site and student management plan. The plan shall include the following details -

- Measures to prohibit student parking on or in the vicinity of the Site (save for temporary parking arrangements in accordance with the move-in procedure).
- Imposition of tenancy restrictions to prevent student tenants being a keeper of or in control of a car within 400m of the Site and measures taken to enforce such Application Reference Number: 21/01605/FULM

restriction, including annual parking surveys in the surrounding area.

- Maintenance of servicing and waste collection facilities.
- Provision of staff on-site.
- Strategy for dealing with any complaints from the public.
- Measures to ensure on-site staff will monitor excessive noise and raise issues with residents.
- That the student tenancy agreements include clauses relating to anti-social behaviour.

Reason: In the interests of the amenity of local residents and highway safety, in accordance with NPPF sections 110 and 130.

23 Travel Plan

Within six months of first occupation of the development hereby permitted a Full Travel Plan, prepared by the site operator shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval in writing. The site shall thereafter be occupied in accordance with the aims, measures and outcomes of said Travel Plan as approved.

The plan shall adhere to National Planning Policy Guidance, in providing objectives, monitoring and meeting the identified objectives. It shall include details of the Travel Plan co-ordinator and details for monitoring cycle usage and providing extra facilities subject to demand. Results of annual travel surveys shall be submitted annually to the authority's travel plan officer for approval.

Reason: To ensure that traffic flows from the site can be safely accommodated and to promote the usage of sustainable means of transport.

24 Student accommodation only

The development hereby approved shall be occupied only for the purposes of student accommodation by either students engaged at all times in full-time or part-time further or higher education courses within the City of York administrative boundary or by delegates at all times attending courses or conferences within the City. The operator of the development shall keep an up to date register of the name of each person in occupation of the development together with course(s) or conference(s) attended, and shall make the register available for inspection by the local planning authority on demand at all reasonable times.

Reason: In order to control the future occupancy of the development in the event of it any part of it being sold or rented on the open market without securing adequate levels of affordable housing, in accordance with Policy H7 of the 2018 Publication Draft Plan.

25 Use of car parking spaces

The parking spaces within the site shall only be used for the following activities -

- Charging of electric vehicles
- Accessible parking
- As a space for use by city car club vehicles (or similar car share arrangement)
- Any temporary parking required in association with the servicing or maintenance of the development hereby permitted, or at the beginning/end of term time, as specified in the Student Traffic Management Plan (as referred to in condition 2).

Reason: In the interests of promoting sustainable travel and accessibility.

26 Communal uses

The development hereby permitted shall include the whole of the amenity space and facilities for occupants, in accordance with the approved floor plans, and retain them as such at all times.

Reason: In the interests of good design and amenity.

8.0 INFORMATIVES:

Notes to Applicant

1. STATEMENT OF THE COUNCIL'S POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE APPROACH

In considering the application, the Local Planning Authority has implemented the requirements set out within the National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 38) in seeking solutions to problems identified during the processing of the application. The Local Planning Authority took the following steps in order to achieve a positive outcome: sought amended plans to address issues regarding design and through the use of planning conditions.

2. LEGAL AGREEMENT

Your attention is drawn to the existence of a legal obligation under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 relating to this development

3. INFORMATIVE:

You are advised that this proposal may have an affect on Statutory Undertakers equipment. You must contact all the utilities to ascertain the location of the equipment and any requirements they might have prior to works commencing.

Contact details:

Case Officer: Jonathan Kenyon

Tel No: 01904 551323